	A B C	D E	F		1	
-	A B C	_		G H I J K Mor Data Sets with Non-Detects	L	
2	User Selected Options	Tromai Baokground	- Ctationio	or paid dots with Non-Bottoto		
3	Date/Time of Computation	8/29/2013 7:59:59 AM				
4	From File	WorkSheet.xls	**			
5	Full Precision	OFF				
6	Confidence Coefficient	95%				
7	Coverage	95%				
8	rent or Future K Observations	1				
9		•				
10	Mercury					
11	······································					
12	General Statistics					
13	Total Nur	mber of Observations 61 Number of Distinct Observations 34				
14	Number of Detects		52	Number of Non-Detects	9	
15	Number of Distinct Detects		34	Number of Distinct Non-Detects	3	
16	Minimum Detect		0.009	Minimum Non-Detect	0.02	
17		Maximum Detect	0.061	Maximum Non-Detect	0.04	
18	Variance Detected		1.3958E-4	Percent Non-Detects	14.75%	
19	Mean Detected		0.0333	SD Detected	0.0118	
20	Mean of Detected Logged Data		-3.47	SD of Detected Logged Data	0.389	
	21					
22	Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)					
23	Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)			d2max (for USL)	3.033	
24		<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
25	Normal GOF Test on Detects Only					
26	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic		0.976	Normal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only		
27	5% Shapiro Wilk P Value		0.583	Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level		
28	Lilliefors Test Statistic		0.0657	Lilliefors GOF Test		
29	5% Lilliefors Critical Value			Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level		
30	Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level					
31						
32	Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution					
33		Mean	0.0312	SD	0.0123	
34		% UTL95% Coverage	0.0559	95% KM UPL (t)	0.0519	
35		KM Chebyshev UPL	0.0852	90% KM Percentile (z)	0.0469	
36	9	5% KM Percentile (z)		99% KM Percentile (z)	0.0598	
37		95% KM USL	0.0685			
38						
39	DL/			stics Assuming Normal Distribution		
40		Mean	0.0304	SD SECULE (V	0.0131	
41	959	% UTL95% Coverage	0.0568	95% UPL (t)	0.0525	
42		90% Percentile (z)	0.0472	95% Percentile (z)	0.052	
43	B1 (2)	99% Percentile (z)	0.0609	95% USL	0.0702	
44	DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons					
45	Nets. The constitution of LIOL to refer the DTV is recognized.					
46	Note: The use of USL to estimate a BTV is recommended only when the data set represents a background					
47	data set free of outliers and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations.					
48	The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data					
49	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.					
50						